

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,937	10/19/2001	David J. Beebe	282.020	4878
23598	7590 04/12/2005	EXAMINER		
	EDRICKSON NEWHO ONSIN AVENUE	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
SUITE 1030	DINSIN A VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			1743	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/045,937	BEEBE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Lyle A. Alexander	1743

	Lyle A. Alexander	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31: or				
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
	had being to the state of 699	<b>.</b>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1							
	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceli the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-14 and 16-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	<u>iot</u> be entered is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered but The amendments have not been entered. With respect of record are proper.	t does NOT place the application in to the previously presented claims	n condition for allowa , the Office maintains	nce because: the rejections				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).					
13. Other:	, aport	1/					
		XX					
		Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments stating the indicia are independent of the monitor structure size has not been previously considered and would require further search. Additionally, it does not appear the original disclousre supports this amendment and may raise additional issues of new matter.